



“Understanding Change: Investigating Legitimacy, Beyond the Transition Paradigm”



Seminar ISEG

March 7 2013, Lisbon




Introductory comments

Me myself and I


<http://durkheim.sciencespobordeaux.fr/PagesCV/Dufy.html>

Scientific context of this presentation

For a Theory of Change in some Emerging Countries




- A starting point: the transition paradigm
- Comparative perspective
- Methodology
- Theoretical background



Plan

I The Failure of the Transition Paradigm in retrospect


II Beyond the Transition Paradigm



I The Failure of the Transition paradigm in retrospect

How could we get it so wrong ?

The transition paradigm; the second generation model




The hybrid regimes (Levitsky and Way, 2002, 2012)

Scope and relevance; two examples Mali and Russia

Questions to be answered


II Beyond the Transition Paradigm: Investigating Legitimacy



Some intuitions « The stability of any given democracy depends not only on economic development but also upon the effectiveness and the legitimacy of its political system » (Lipset 1959, as cited by Englebort, 1981, p. 64)

Douglass North « The cost of maintenance of an existing order are inversely related to the perceived legitimacy of the existing system » North Douglass, '1981, p.53, cité par Englebort)

II Beyond the Transition Paradigm: Investigating Legitimacy




Legitimacy

Dimensions horizontal / vertical (Englebert, 2000)


And roots (3)

II Beyond the Transition Paradigm: Investigating Legitimacy




- 1) State and Business (horizontal) (Laruelle, 2012; Stewart and al., 2012)
- 2) State and Society (Vertical) (Hibou, 2011)
- 3) Ideology (Hibou, 2011, Dufy, forthcoming)

II Beyond the Transition Paradigm: Investigating Legitimacy




1) State and Business (horizontal) (Laruelle, 2012; Stewart and al., 2012)

- Neopatrimonialism (Bach, Gazibo, 2012)
- State capture (EBRD, Kaufmann, Jones, Hellman, 2000)
- Corruption (Hibou, 2011, Ledeneva, 1998)
- Patronage (Laruelle, 2012)
- Cronysm



2) State and Society (Vertical) (Hibou, 2011)

- Tunisia « politique du ventre »
- China
- Russia



3) Ideology (Hibou, 2011; Dufy)

- The Beijing Consensus (Williamson, 2012)
- The Multiple Modernities (Eisenstadt, 2000)
- The Modernization debate in Russia and my field work




Table 1 : Main regimes of action in trade and economic transactions

	Main Reference and Space	Main social character	Main Actions	Main goal	Orders of Worth	Sectors/ scale	Catchword	Relationship to the political sphere
Market model	Market (A Smith)	The broker	Saling/Buying	Profit	Efficiency/ inefficiency	<i>Small scale firms</i>	Competitiveness	Deconnexion Autonomy
Innovation Model	Industry (Schumpeter)	The engineer	Research	Creation	Innovative / conservative	Electronics <i>Small and big firms</i>	Modernisation development	Neutrality
Strategic model	Domestic territory (F List)	The programmer	Select and choose	« nach »	National/ external	Automobile defense, energy... <i>Big firms</i>	Economic patriotism	Intervention

lobbying

Conclusion



An antiliberal approach ?

A relativist approach ?

The Aftermath of the « Global shift »

Bibliography

Bach & Gazibo, eds., *Neopatrimonialism in Africa and Beyond*, , Oxford: Routledge, 2012.

- Carothers T., "The End of The Transition Paradigm", *Journal of Democracy*, 2002
 - **Dufy C. 2007, *L'Ethnographie économique*, La Découverte, collection Repères, Paris.**
http://www.editions-ladecouverte.fr/catalogue/index-L_ethnographie_economique-9782707149176.html
 - **Dufy C., 2008, *Le Troc dans le marché : pour une sociologie des transactions dans la Russie post-soviétique*. L'Harmattan, Paris, <http://www.editions-harmattan.fr/index.asp?navig=catalogue&obj=result>)**
 - **Dufy C.**, 2011, « Pratiques informelles dans le changement de système en Russie : entre criminalité et normalisation », *Quatre paradoxes sur l'économie informelle*, dirigé par Florence Weber (CMH, CNRS), Paris, Editions MSH, et Karthala, coll. « Les terrains du siècle », Paris, p. 51-70.
 - Eisenstadt, S. (2000) "Multiple Modernities", *Daedalus*, 129, 1, pp. 1-29.
 - Englebert P. « State Legitimacy and Developmental Capacity », pp. 71-122, *State Legitimacy and Development in Africa*. Boulder, CO: Lynne Rienner, 2000.
 - Joel S. Hellman Geraint Jones, Daniel Kaufmann and Mark Schankerman, *Measuring Governance, Corruption, and State Capture: How Firms and Bureaucrats Shape the Business Environment in Transition Economies: results of a firm-level study across 20 transition economies*, Washington DC, World Bank Policy Research Working Paper, no. 2312, 2000;
 - Joel Hellman, Daniel Kaufmann, "Confronting the Challenge of State Capture in Transition Economies", *Finance and Development* , 2001, vol. 38, n°3, p. 31-35.
 - Hibou B., *Anatomie politique de la domination*, La Découverte, Paris, 2012 Laruelle M. ed., [Discussing Neopatrimonialism and Patronal Presidentialism in the Central Asian Context](#), special issue on *Demokratizatsiya*, Autum 2012, <http://essential.metapress.com/content/g7231584717164r0>
- Ledeneva A. *Russia's Economy of Favors, Blat, and Informal Exchange*; Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- Levitsky S. & Way L., *Competitive Authoritarianism: Hybrid Regimes After the Cold War*, Cambridge and New York, Cambridge University Press, 2010.
 - Céline Thiriot « Rôle de la société civile dans la transition et la consolidation démocratique en Afrique : éléments de réflexion à partir du cas du Mali », *Revue internationale de politique comparée* 2/2002 (Vol. 9), p. 277-295.
www.cairn.info/revue-internationale-de-politique-comparee-2002-2-page-277.htm. [10.3917/ripc.092.0277](https://doi.org/10.3917/ripc.092.0277).
 - Susan Stewart, Margarete Klein, Andrea Schmitz & Hans-Henning Schroöder, dirs., *Presidents, Oligarchs and Bureaucrats; Forms of Rule in the Post Soviet Space*, Farnham: Ashgate, 2012.
 - Stiglitz, J. (2002) *Globalization and its discontents*, (New York, W. W. Norton).
 - Williamson, J. (2012), "Is the Beijing Consensus now dominant?", *Asia Policy*, 13, pp. 1-16., accessed 26th of January 2013 <http://hbr.org/publications/collection.aspx?id=574>